# Intelligent Polymers for Tissue Engineering Applications



Marissa E. Wechsler<sup>1,2</sup> and Nicholas A. Peppas<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>

UT Austin | Portugal INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATORY FOR EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES, COLAB

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Biomaterials, Drug Delivery, and Regenerative Medicine, <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, <sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Dell Medical School, <sup>5</sup>College of Pharmacy, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

### INTRODUCTION

Approximately half a million bone defects require tissue graft reconstruction in the Unites States each year. The gold standard for treatment is the use of autologous bone grafts, however, these present several limitations with the most predominant being availability and donor-site morbidity. In order to overcome these limitations, there is a need to develop novel alternatives or adjuncts to traditional methods used to promote new bone tissue formation. Bone tissue aims to **Biomaterials** tissue by regeneration cells, Tissue various Engineering signals. **Bioactive** biological Use of Cells Signals signals and cells to native Figure 1. Tissue engineering triad the host may address many disadvantages of current approaches for bone tissue repair. Localized delivery of osteoinductive factors (e.g., growth factors, peptides, and small molecules) remains a major challenge in bone tissue engineering to promote regeneration and repair of damaged tissues. Delivery of select bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) has shown great therapeutic potential (specifically, BMP-2 and BMP-7), however, current delivery methods require supraphysiological concentrations to obtain desired effects and experience inadequate retention at the site of delivery. In contrast, the use of small molecules to induce bone regeneration has not been fully explored and displays several advantages over the use of growth factors (including small size, high) stability and non-immunogenicity). Local administration of osteoinductive small molecules can through incorporation within achieved be osteoconductive materials, such as, bone tissue engineered scaffolds. However, optimal carriers for small molecule delivery within these scaffolds remain partially unknown.

### **SPECIFIC AIMS**

#### **Aim 1. Synthesize and characterize** polymeric systems for small molecule delivery

be synthesized Particles solution will via polymerization. N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM) will be co-polymerized with methacrylic acid (MAA), and/or benzyl methacrylate (BZMA), or 2hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) using N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide as the crosslinker.

# PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**Nanoparticle characterization** (FT-IR/TEM/DLS)



engineering induce means of combining biomaterials, and stimulatory promote the healing response of

Characterization techniques:

- Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
- Fourier transform (FT-IR) infrared spectroscopy
- Dynamic light scattering (DLS)
- Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
- Rheology

#### Aim 2. Analyze the load and release of small molecule loaded polymer systems

Purmorphamine



Loading methods:

- In situ loading
- Post formulation loading
- Molecular imprinting

Realease:

- Sustained or burst release at physiological conditions
  - Diffusion-controlled
  - Swelling-controlled
  - Chemically-controlled

In vitro assays to be

Cytotoxicity/viability

Cell proliferation

analysis

Mineralization

Cell differentiation

- Gene expression

- Protein deposition

performed:

Aim 3. Assess the performance of developed small molecule carriers using



Figure 6. Representative FT-IR spectroscopy of P(NIPAM-co-MAAco-BZMA or HEMA) nanoparticles at various concentrations Note: Figure courtesy of Heidi R. Culver.



Figure 7. Representative TEM image of P(NIPAM-co-MAA) nanoparticles Note: Nanoparticles were stained with 2% uranyl acetate. Figure courtesy of Heidi R. Culver.



Figure 8. Representative intensity-weighted particle size distribution of synthesized nanoparticles A: DLS of P(NIPAM-co-MAA) and P(NIPAM-co-MAA-co-BZMA) at various concentrations; B: DLS of P(NIPAM-co-MAA) and P(NIPAM-co-MAA-co-HEMA) at various concentrations

### SUMMARY

Specific aims are currently a work in progress. Various polymeric nanoparticles have been synthesized including the following: P(NIPAN-co-MAA), P(NIPAM-co-MAA-co-BZMA) and P(NIPAMco-MAA-co-HEMA). Synthesis of nanogels were confirmed Fourier transform by infrared spectroscopy. Nanoparticle size was determined using dynamic light scattering. Results show successful synthesis of the desired polymer formulations. Synthesized nanogels can thus be used to investigate the load and release of small osteoinductive molecules, followed by assessing the in vitro and in vivo performance of the loaded polymeric systems. Successful delivery of these molecules within tissue engineered constructs could have major impact in bioengineering and in specific therapeutic modalities in the clinical milieu.



Figure 4. Structure of the small molecule phenamil

Phenamil

Growth Factors	Peptides	Small Molecules
<ul> <li>Advantages</li> <li>Specific</li> <li>Stimulate cell proliferation and differentiation following naturally occurring mechanisms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Advantages</li> <li>Small size</li> <li>Low immunogenicity</li> <li>Self-assembling possibilities</li> <li>Ease of production</li> <li>Stable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Advantages</li> <li>Physico- chemically well defined</li> <li>Minimized costs and risk of contamination</li> <li>Non-immunogenic</li> <li>Stable</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Disadvantages</li> <li>Unstable</li> <li>Impurities</li> <li>Supraphysiological concentrations required</li> <li>High cost</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Disadvantages</li> <li>Unstable</li> <li>High cost</li> <li>May provoke immune response</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Disadvantages</li> <li>May penetrate non-target cells</li> <li>Non-specific adverse effects</li> </ul>

Figure 2. Advantages and disadvantages of growth factors, peptides and small molecules Note: Figure adapted from Balmayor, Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews (2015).



#### *in vitro* models

Cell types to be examined:

- Bone-marrow derived murine mesenchymal stem cells
- Murine osteoblasts
- Human osteoblasts
- Bone-marrow derived human mesenchymal stem cells



Figure 5. Osteodifferentiation pathway of mesenchymal stem cells Note: Figure adopted from Wechsler et al., Tissue Eng Part C Methods (2015).

Aim 4. Evaluate the *in vivo* capability of the loaded small molecule polymeric systems

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ms. Heidi R. Culver and Dr. Julia Vela-Ramirez for assistance with various aspects of this project, as well as, the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship which provided support to MEW and the UT Portugal CoLab Program.

# REFERENCES

Balmayor ER. Targeted delivery as key for the success of small osteoinductive molecules.

To develop an intelligent polymer based platform for the delivery small molecules to promote functions of bone cells pertinent to new bone tissue formation.

A bone defect model will be used to evaluate the *in* vivo performance of the small molecule loaded polymeric systems. Species to be examined include either mouse, rat or rabbit.

Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2015;94:13-27.

Wechsler ME, Hermann BP, Bizios R. Adult human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation at the cell population and single-cell levels under alternating electric current. Tissue Eng Part C Methods. 2015.

Vo TN, Kasper FK, Mikos AG. Strategies for controlled delivery of growth factors and cells for bone regeneration. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2012; 64(12): 1292–1309.

Amini AR, Laurencin CT, Nukavarapu SP. Bone tissue engineering: recent advances and challenges. Crit Rev Biomed Eng. 2012; 40(5): 363-408.

